

Digital Dentistry Technology & Innovation 3D Printing Guide

Acknowledgments

The American Dental Association's Center for Dental Practice's Digital Dentistry Technology and Innovation (DDTI) subcommittee would like to thank, Dr. Gabriel Lagreca of the American Dental Education Association (ADEA) and chair of the special interest group on Teaching and Learning with Emerging Technology, for the opportunity to collaborate on the development of DDTI's new resource on 3D Printing Guide.

This resource was developed by the American Dental Association's Center for Dental Practice. The American Dental Association thanks the following individuals for their contributions:

- Dr. Michael Saba Chair •
- Dr. Geno Romo
- Dr. ArNelle Wright New Dentist Member
- Dr. Richard Barnes ٠
- Dr. Princy Rekhi
- Dr. Shane Ricci •
- Dr. Payam Goudarzi •
- Dr. Jennifer Thompson
- Dr. Manish Chopra, ex-officio •
- Dr. Jeffrey Ottley, ex-officio ٠

In addition to the individuals cited above, principal contributors to this resource guide include Dr. Hana Alberti senior director, Center for Dental Practice, Special thanks to the ADA's Council on Dental Benefit Programs, Council on Dental Practice and the ADA's Legal Division staff for their review of and contributions to this module.

DISCLAIMER

The information provided in these materials is provided in good faith and for general information purposes only. The American Dental Association (ADA) strives to provide accurate information, but ADA does not make any warranties about the completeness, reliability or accuracy of this information, nor about the quality or appropriate use(s) of any of the products noted in the material. ADA urges you to independently verify the accuracy and completeness of any information provided in these materials before you take any action in reliance upon any information provided herein. Any action you take upon the information you find in this table is at your own risk, and ADA will not be liable for any losses and/or damages in connection with the use of or reliance on this material.

Source(s):

Form 3B+: An advanced desktop 3D printer designed for the healthcare industry. Formlabs. (n.d.). https://formlabs.com/3d-printers/form-3b/

NextDent 5100. 3D Systems. (2023, February 2). https://www.3dsystems.com/3d-printers/nextdent-5100

Products by indication. Kulzer.com. (n.d.). https://www.kulzer.com/en/products/cara-print-4-0-pro.html

Professional 3D printing solutions for Digital Dentistry. SprintRay Inc. (2023, July 11). https://sprintray.com/

Revilla-León, M., Frazier, K., Costa, J. da, Haraszthy, V., Ioannidou, E., MacDonnell, W., Park, J., Tenuta, L. M. A., Eldridge, L., Vinh, R., & Kumar, P. (2023). Prevalence and applications of 3dimensional printers in dental practice. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, *154*(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2023.02.004

The undisputed max. asiga. (n.d.). https://www.asiga.com/max/

Introduction

Many influences exist when purchasing 3D printers; an objective assessment of considerations is needed to determine which will fit your patient's and practice's needs.

According to the ADA Clinical Evaluators Panel survey "Prevalence and applications of 3-dimensional printers in dental practice" dentists report the most common uses for 3D printers were to complement or enhance other digital technologies (63%), control workflows (50%), improve efficiency (50%), use existing digital skills or procedures (48%), and reduce cost or manufacturing time (43%).

For a brief outline of 3D printing digital workflow, please refer to Appendix 1.

Purpose

3D printers are quickly emerging in the dental field but are not new to the dental lab industry. For some dental teams, including those in academia, these technologies are already part of their practice workflows and could become the industry standard.

Many of the existing product technologies have evolved and have solely focused their 3D printers on providing dental products, meeting many, if not all, of the sought-after capabilities for dental providers, their teams, and their patients.

This guide highlights a broad range of features a dental practice may consider when purchasing such valuable equipment.

CONSIDERATIONS

Before we jump into considerations about the actual printer selection, ask yourself:

Resource Questions

- Have I integrated the digital record taken into my clinical workflow?
- Can my computers handle the software requirements of the new technology? •
- Are my team members willing to try new things and embrace technology? •

Patient care questions

- What is/are my practice's main prosthesis and appliance fabricated? •
- What are my current lab costs per procedure for outsourcing? •
- Will incorporating digital workflows allow me to offer additional/different procedures? •
- How will this technology impact patient outcomes?

Practice setting questions:

Do I have the capacity to integrate a digital workflow fully or do I need to outsource any aspects (treatment planning, designing

NOW LET'S CONSIDER

There are four major considerations in selecting the best 3D printers.

1. Intended use/capability

- · Printers vary in ability to make diagnostic models to multi-color hard and soft tissue models used for implant treatment planning.
- Biocompatible Products include surgical guides, night guards, crowns, temp veneers, dentures, orthodontic appliances, custom trays.
- Quality can be difficult to assess by requesting a sample print or observing the process, but materials can be researched for composition and compliance with safety standards.

2. Type

- FDA compliance
- Closed vs. Open systems •
- Additional software may be required for design, treatment planning, etc
- Cloud-based design options, printer software compatibility with CAD software
- Some brands have a history with dental products only •
- Many technology types exist but common for dental use include, PolyJet, Digital light processing (DLP), • Stereolithography (SLA), Digital Light Synthesis
- Evolution of versions, frequency of upgrades
- Automated systems vs. post-processing equipment/process
- Resin and materials cartridges may not be interchangeable or have limitations to the type of material • used.
- Different products require different resin types •
- Size of the building platform is a consideration to the volume and type of products to be printed
- Environmental (temperature, humidity, location) considerations to function of machine and material
- Additional equipment required, such as washing and curing units •

3. Price

- Range from \$5,000- > \$100,000
- · Consider additional cost if need scanner, IT update
- Technical and Customer support subscriptions
- · Training of team
- Material cost to determine the return on investment
- · Cost of time and space
- Cost of training
- Software cost and cost of updates (if not included or additional software is required)

4. Time

- Volume of use should be considered for calculating return on investment will design and fabricate?
- Time to print product by type, resin cure time and full vs. guadrant arch
- Curing, finishing, and polishing product time (manual vs automated)
- Printing accuracy and capacity per material and prosthesis (Remakes, adjustment times, shades)
- How long has this printer or material been in the market? What was the last update to the software, printer design, and supply of product upgrades?
- Learning curve and training requirements



- Time needed for update of IT to support digital workflow
- Support and/or maintenance contract

CONCLUSION

The decision to expand your technology can be intimidating. Many vendors exist and influencers are available to steer you in a certain direction.

The most important question if you, your team and your patients are ready to embrace the benefits of 3D printing is:

What am I trying to accomplish?

APPENDIX 1: 3D PRINTING WORKFLOW

- 1. Scan- Capture data with a compatible scanning system.
 - a. Data is sent to the software program.
- 2. Design- Once uploaded, the software program will populate options based on the type of prosthesis being fabricated.
 - a. Based on the criteria selected, the software populates a file of the prosthesis for approval and adjustments via your dashboard. Many programs allow you to do this remotely.
 - b. Once approved, the file is sent to the 3D printer.
 - c. This step can be outsourced..
- 3. Print- Ensure the model form is clean and there is no residual resin left from the prior fabrication.
 - a. Pour the resin into the mold.
 - b. Start printing.
- 4. Process- Depending on the end product and manufacturer, this may include a wash, curing and polish process.
- 5. Deliver to patient and verify.



APPENDIX 2: 3D PRINTER COMPARISON CHART

Manufacturers, capabilities, materials, and prices are subject to change and the information below is a reflection as of 07/06/2023, per maunufacturers website or representative. Manufacturers were selected per most commonly used by the respondents of the <u>ACE Panel Survey</u>.

Manufacturer (listed alphabetically)		Clini	ical Ind	ication		Unique Feature	Initial Investment *Price reflects printer only
	Surgical Guides	Diagnostic Models	Digital Dentures	Splints & Nightguard	Temporary Crown & Bridge		
3D Systems NextDent 5100	V	~	✓	~	~	Biocompatible and CE certified n accordance with Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC	\$ 5,000 - \$215,000
Asiga	✓	*	V	~	V	Smart Positioning System (SPS), Open Material System Compatibility	\$9,990 - \$29,990
Form Labs 3B+Desktop	✓	*		~		Instant release build platform Automatic resin dispenser and mixer	\$6,299.00- \$13,000.00+
Kulzer Cara Print 4.0	~	~		~		Lightweight professional printer	\$15,000 - \$20,000
Sprint Ray	~	✓	~	✓	✓	Designed for the dental profession specifically	\$10k-\$50k

Manufacturer	Print Time and Quantity								
	The print times listed represent print time only. It does not reflect complete workflow. Print times may vary bas angulation and microns per unit.								
	Surgical Guides	Diagnostic Models	Digital Dentures	Splints & Nightguards	Temporary, Crown & Bridge				
Asiga Max UV	30-40 minutes 3-4 60-90 minutes 4-6 per platform (standing)	20 min 2-3 per platform (lying flat) 50 min 6-8 (standing)	60-90 min 6-8 dentures bases (standing)	60-90 min 6-8 splints	Asiga brand materials for Temporaries and crowns is unavailable. 3D party materials are available				
Formlabs 3B+ Desktop	49 minutes 1 per platform 2 hours 24 min 6 per platform	35 minutes1 per platform2.5 hours8 per platform	 3.5 hours 1 base platform 10 hours 8 bases per platform 5 hours 8 arches of Denture Teeth per platform 	51 minutes 1 unit per platform 3 hours 6 per platform (Hard) 3.5 hours 6 per platform (soft)	40-45 minutes 1 temp or crown per platflorm 5 hours 100 units per platform				
Kulzer Cara Print 4.0	15 minutes 5 surgical guides per platform (lying flat)	11 minutes 14 models per platform (standing)	Not Listed Metal framework available to print	10 minutes 2 splints per platform (lying flat)	26 minutes 1 crown per platform 32 minutes 32 crowns per platform 35 minutes 1-4 unit cast bridge				
Sprint Ray Pro55 S	60 minutes Up to 13 per platform	60 min Up to 18 per platform	Not Listed	60 min Up to 11 per platform	Not Listed				

APPENDIX 3: FOR MORE INFORMATION ON DIGITAL IMPRESSIONS AND SCANNERS

- 1. <u>American Dental Association Technical Report No. 142 CAD/CAM Guided Surgical Devices and</u> <u>Maxillofacial Prosthetics</u>
 - a. This technical report describes methods used to produce repeatable, predictable, and accurate digitally produced surgical guides and maxillofacial prosthetic appliances and is applicable for both dental digital data capture and dental laboratory CAD/CAM (computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing) systems

2. <u>American National Standard/ American Dental Association Standard No. 132 Scanning Accuracy of Dental</u> <u>Chairside and Laboratory CAD/CAM Systems</u>

a. ADA/ANSI Standard 132 provides a comprehensive procedure to evaluate 3D optical metrology systems used in dentistry. This standard describes three test objects that represent typical dental scanning parameters use to assess the accuracy, repeatability and reproducibility of 3D optical metrology systems. Additionally, this standard describes the test analysis methods and the acceptable relative error for dental chairside and laboratory CAD CAM systems

3. International standard- ISO/TR 20896-1 Dentistry — Digital impression devices — Part 1: Methods for assessing accuracy

a. This document describes test methods for evaluating the accuracy of digitizing devices that acquire data by direct scanning of a patient's dentition with a manually guided; that is hand-held, device in order to obtain a digital impression. A companion document, ISO 12836, provides test methods for assessing the accuracy of fixed devices for digitizing physical impressions or models cast from such impressions. Separate standards were deemed necessary after it became apparent that two of the test objects described in ISO 12836 are unsuited for successful interpretation of data acquired from these objects with a hand-held scanning device.

4. International standard- ISO/TR 20896-2 Dentistry — Digital impression devices — Part 2: Methods for assessing accuracy for implanted devices

a. This document describes possible test methods for evaluating the accuracy of digital impression devices designed for direct oral scanning of implant bodies, intended as support for prosthetic appliances to replace a patient's dentition, in order to obtain a digital impression. It is a complement to <u>ISO 20896-1</u>, which assesses the accuracy of digital impression devices from which a digital impression of a patient's dentition can be created. A companion standard, <u>ISO 12836</u>, provides test methods for assessing the accuracy of fixed devices for digitizing physical impressions or models/casts made from such impressions. Separate standards were deemed necessary after it became apparent that two of the test objects described in <u>ISO 12836</u> were unsuited for successful interpretation of data acquired with a digital impression device.